

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,476	02/06/2004	Hubert Beck	4452-589	7050
27799 75	27799 7590 11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10176 ·	3683		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/773,476	BECK, HUBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	d. WERP SCHWAR				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	CHARLEMARY				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duckett '663.

Regarding claim 1 Duckett discloses a device similar to applicants. Note the equalization space provided by the envelope at 18.

Lacking is the connecting element provided in the rod guide 4.

However note the fill hole at 29 and the gas inlet, or connecting element, at 31.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have modified the device of Duckett so that a connecting element could be provided in the rod guide 4 to connect the envelope 18 to a flow connection, as such a modification would amount to an obvious alternative connection arrangement to that of Duckett dependent upon the particular vehicle undercarriage or desired suspension arrangement.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,476

Art Unit: 3683

4. Claims 2-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duckett in view of Gladieux.

Regarding claim 2 Duckett lacks a showing of the particular structure of the connecting element and snap ring.

However such couplings are notoriously well known in the art for easy assembly and removal.

The reference to Gladieux is relied upon to show one such well known coupling arrangement. Please see figures 2-6.

To have provided such a coupling in the device of Duckett would merely amount to an alternative equivalent type of coupling to connecting element shown by Duckett, but as modified above.

The limitations of claims 2-5,8 are considered to merely be an alternative arrangement to that of Duckett, as modified by Gladieux.

Regarding claim 6 to have provided a bushing, as claimed, in the device of Duckett as modified by Gladieux would have been obvious dependent upon the particular type of coupling arrangement selected. Note the support surface (not labeled) for the ring 40 in Gladieux on the coupling, considered to function the same as applicant's bushing.

Regarding claim 7 note the seal at 70 in Gladieux.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see the other types of coupling arrangements shown in the prior art of record. Please see the bushing in Cunningham at 33.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 11/6/04